

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,493	08/18/2003	Yoshihiro Katsumata	K-2073	1858
32628	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER	
	N KANESAKA BERNE 700 DIAGONAL RD	COONEY, JOHN M		
	RIA, VA 22314-2848		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1711	

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/642,493	KATSUMATA, YOSHIHIRO	)
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		John m. Cooney	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a compension of the properties of the provision of the properties of the provision	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 June 2005.		
·		his action is non-final.		
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits i	is
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in tl	he application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	drawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	.,.,	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	oplication No. <u>10/227,269</u> .	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	*	received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmen	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	—·	

MC

Applicant's arguments filed 6-13-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

All objections and all rejections under 35 USC 112 are withdrawn in light of applicants' amendments and remarks.

The following rejection under 35 USC 103 is maintained as set forth below:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,4,13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scherzer et al. (6,031,013), alone, or in view of Fujita et al. (6,303,669).

Scherzer et al. discloses preparations of polyurethane foams from high molecular weight polyols, isocyanates, foaming agents inclusive of water in amounts prescribed by applicants' claims, chain extenders and/or crosslinkers reading on applicants' claimed low molecular weight polyol and the crosslinkers of applicants' claims, silicone-polyether stabilizers, and catalysts, wherein the reactants are combined in amounts and manners

Application/Control Number: 10/642,493

Art Unit: 1711

which meet the urethane/urea criteria of applicants' claims (see column 1 line 47-column 10 line 13, as well as, the entire document).

Scherzer et al. differs from applicants' claims in that urea modification to the polymer through inclusion of urea groups is not particularly required. However, the reference is clear in its recitation that urea group content may be incorporated into the polymers through inclusion of urea groups in the isocyanate (see column 2 lines 53-56). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed isocyanates containing urea groups, as disclosed by Scherzer et al. in the making of the preparations of Scherzer et al. for the purpose of imparting greater crystallinity to the structures realized in order to arrive at the products of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

Scherzer et al. further differs from the claims in that the flexible polyurethanes prepared are not particularly employed as edge members of diaphragms of speakers. However, Fujita et al. discloses that it is well known to employ flexible polyurethane foams as edge members of diaphragms of speakers for the purpose of utilizing the beneficial properties of the respective foam while serving the function of operating as a speaker edge material. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the flexible foam materials of Scherzer et al. in the function of the speaker edge material in the preparations of Fujita et al. for the purpose of imparting their flexibility and lightfastness effect in order to arrive at the

Application/Control Number: 10/642,493

Art Unit: 1711

products of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

Applicants' arguments have been considered with regards to the above rejection, but rejection is maintained to be proper for the reasons as set forth above.

Scherzer et al. is maintained to teach allowance for the inclusion of urea group contents in their preparations, and rejection on this issue is maintained to be proper.

Applicants have not established criticality associated with and commensurate in scope with the range of relative urea content values recited in the claims.

Scherzer et al. and Fujita et al. are maintained to be properly combined as set forth above, and it is maintained that success would be reasonably expected upon making the combinations as set forth in the rejection above. Applicants' have not demonstrated new or unexpected results associated with the employment of the materials, as defined by the claims, as edge members of the diaphragms of speakers to a sufficient degree that the rejection, as set forth above, is overcome.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/642,493 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR.

PRIMARY EXAMINER